Iva Violet Dawson daughter of Mr. Mrs. Dawson of 7 Johnston's Buildings, Kerby St. London was vaccinated in July 1907 by the public vaccinator in 4 places as was usual. The mother was an unusually careful one so much so that it excited the approving comment of the vaccinating physician. Soon the sores showed malignancy and instead of healing ran into one another.

The child was taken to the meeting of the guardians on Sept. 18th and to the Children's hospital at Shadwell every day. Day and night the unhappy mother watched over and nursed the hapless victim but after three months of intense suffering. Now although vaccination and vaccination alone was the evident cause of this terrible suffering and death, it is not even mentioned in the certificate of death

The medical attendant had the effrontery to ascribe for cause of death "Epidemic Diarrhoea"
The child, was in the heal of health until it was vaccinated

Fig plate is a photographic portrait of the unfortunate victim in its mother's arms.

Vac Dry Mar 1907 pp 146-7

~~Ch~~ Creighton's art: on vac to be
Pearson in 1802 showed that the result
of Cowpox even while it remains a
result is quite unlike ~~a~~ the S P pustule
(& numb[er] years found app for use)
Passy and Bousquet 1836 (p. 24)

Estlin 1838

Ceely 1838-41

Beaugency and 1866

Among infants under 1 year
In 1859 5 dths fr Ery~~p~~ fr Vac[n] are recorded
in Eng & Wales & these increase with the
~~incr~~ spread of vac until in 188~~2~~ there
were ~~49 2 60 1~~ 65 cases of death from
Vac: ~~out of a total of 696~~ Vac of
infants under 1 yr — Aldonwick in 1882
[?] so ~~tried~~ so performed in investigat[ion] [?]
In ~~Oct~~ 1883, 1289 workmen were
re-vac w. glycerinated human L
& 191 had jaundice ~~had~~ as a result

Syphilis
Infants under 1 yr
~~In 1847 255~~ In 1852 380
In 1884 1733

In 1852 all other ages 243
1884 547

Important to those who Elect Members of Board of Guardians.

TWO MORE VICTIMS TO VACCINATION.

To the Editor.

Sir,—The Leeds fatality, reported in your paper a week or two back, in which it was demonstrated beyond a doubt that syphilis not only was transmitted to children by vaccination, but that it killed them, was a splendid case to go before the Royal Commission, now sitting. When reading the evidence produced at the inquest in the above mentioned case I did not then dream of being able so quickly and so surely to strengthen the anti-vaccination case by two other deaths, and so close to our own doors. But such is the course of events, much to the chagrin of pro-vaccinators, who must call to their aid the old adage, "What they cannot cure they must endure," no matter how distasteful it may be. I would invite everybody to read the irrefutable evidence here presented in the two cases, which I have recently been asked to investigate, and now invoke your aid to publish to the world.—Truly yours,

Leicester, Sept. 12, 1889. AMOS BOOTH.

CASE No. 1.

(COPY.)—"We the undersigned beg to declare as follows: On March 31, 1889, we had a child born (girl). From the time of its birth until after it was vaccinated, viz., July 15, the child was quite well, healthy, and strong. The operation was, at our request, performed by Dr. ——, of Hinckley, to whom we paid 2s. 6d. fee, in order to have good lymph and a practised operator, as we were led to believe he was. He informed the mother and grandmother that the matter with which he vaccinated our child was 'calf matter.' On the Wednesday after the insertion of this pure 'calf lymph' in the infant's arm it became much inflamed right down to the elbow, and 'blebs' appeared under the same. It proceeded to get worse day by day until the following Monday, on which day it was again taken to the doctor for inspection. The mother asked the vaccinator how he accounted for the greatly inflamed state of the arm, and he replied that he was certain the 'lymph was pure,' but any other reason for the terrible results which have followed this very 'pure lymph' was not forthcoming, save excuses. He advised poultices and lotion, and we applied them for a week, strictly as advised, but our efforts and the doctor's were of no avail, for the infant, after much suffering, succumbed to the effects of the fatal operation on Monday, the 29th of the same month. As above stated, until we permitted the vaccinator to place in the child's arm the 'pure calf lymph,' it was healthy, happy, and well, and we much regret we did not let well alone, and decline to have the babe interfered with. Neither of us has been troubled with any blood disease, nor has any person connected with us, and we are quite positive, as the certificate of death states, that our infant daughter died from the effects of vaccination.

"(Signed), GEORGE BAILEY,
"EMMA BAILEY."

Witness: JOHN GOODE WILLS, 4, Druid-street, Hinckley.

Sept. 4, 1889.

(Copy of Surgeon's Certificate).

"This is to certify that I attended Mrs. George Bailey's child, aged four months, and named Mary Ann Bailey, and the said child died on the 29th July, 1889. The cause of death, as certified by myself, was vaccination, erysipelatous inflammation, acute bronchitis, exhaustion.——, M.B."

Hinckley, Aug. 9.

CASE No. 2.

(COPY.)—"We the undersigned declare as follows:—On May 10, 1889, we had a child born (girl) at 26, Waterloo-square, Hinckley. Until June 13, 1889, the child continued to thrive and get on well, and up to this time it had suffered no ailment. Knowing that it was required by law that it should undergo the operation of vaccination, and not expecting any injury would follow in case the matter was good, and knowing that Dr. ——, of Hinckley, had a repute for skilful vaccination, we decided that he should be asked to vaccinate the infant. Agreeably with this view the mother took the babe to Dr. ——, and he vaccinated it—as he said—with 'calf lymph,' adding that he knew it was really good lymph, and that it was quite fresh. We paid him 2s. 6d. as his fee. One puncture only was made, and that on the left arm. A week after vaccination, viz., on the following Saturday, as nothing of importance seemed to have occurred to the vaccinated arm, the child was taken a second time to Dr. ——, when it was again operated upon; this time by Dr. ——'s assistant. On the following Monday inflammation set in all around the puncture, gradually extending all over its body, and after much suffering and causing us much trouble and anxiety, the doctor being unable to rectify the mischief—and we believe he tried the utmost—the infant succumbed on Saturday, August 10, 1889. Neither of us has ever had any blood disease, and so far as our knowledge goes no one in either of our families has. Both of us are quite certain in our own minds that vaccination is the cause of death, and no certificate of death certifying to the contrary will alter our belief. Some of our neighbours can fully corroborate the facts here recorded.

'(Signed) JAMES COLLINS.
"ELIZA COLLINS."

Witness: JOHN GOODE WILLS,
36, The Lawns, Hinckley.

[A copy of the official death certificate is forwarded, showing the cause to be "erysipelatous inflammation, marasmus, exhaustion."]

Extracted from the *Leicester Daily Post*, Sept. 13, 1889.

A letter and two signed declarations which appear in another column furnish an only too painful answer to one question which is now much oftener heard in other towns than it is in Leicester. It is the chief cause of the irresistible opposition to vaccination in our own borough and elsewhere. In the cases of the latest apparent victims to this "safeguard," it is not alleged that the vaccinator did not honestly try to do his duty to the best of his ability. Under these circumstances, the very fact that death should have supervened in both cases, and that one should have been actually certified as due to vaccination, is about the most cogent proof of the danger of the so-called "protection" that could well be conceived. If, however, additional proof be needed, it is at hand. It is to be found in a prosecution at the Market Bosworth Petty Sessions, this week, on the still too-familiar charge of non-vaccination. What was the defence? It was all summed up in a child which was produced in court, and which was said to have suffered most seriously, and that simply and solely from the same "safeguard." In face of these and other multiplying cases, one can hardly wonder that the revolt against compulsion should be rapidly widening and growing. The chief matter of surprise would be if it were and could be otherwise.

7/

Brit Journ of Derm 13/01 p 81

Dr Little Case of Vaccinal Lupus

Rosie G. at Gt Ormond St Hosp for Children

born Oct 1891 vacd april 1892 in 4 places by pub vac Calf. Lymph.

The 2 lower of the 4 vacn sores remained unhealed after the scabs from the 2 upper ones fell off wch happened within 3 weeks of vacn

Lower lesions did not heal but in 4 to 6 weeks of vac scabs fell off leaving in the site of wounds bleeding nodules & an unhealthy red one wch oozed "blood & corruption" - Discharge persisted some years

Appce when shown at the Dermatological Soc of London Jan 1900

There is a patch of chronic scaly dermatitis having all the clinical appearances of Lupus vulgaris It covers an area of 2⅛ x 1½ in long diam transverse diam; it ~~is Pas~~ partially occupies the outer

6

lower & wholly obliterates the inner lower scar of vac. Upper 2 scars pure & well-developed
Surface of patch is much fissured & unusually scaly and vascular.
There are 3 nodules of more recent origin at the margin of the main patch but separated from it by healthy skin
There are 2 a 3 moderately enlarged glands in left axilla
A photo taken from Water-Cola drawing. The diseased arm
at 9 yrs ~~vaga~~ weight 51 lbs
said to be in good health
Whitfield ~~was~~ adopts the words of Besnier in reporting a similar case
"The lupus was inoculated by the vaccinator, the vaccine or by outside agency before the end of the eruption of Vaccinia."

Archives of Surgery Oct 1889

Jonathan Hutchinson 1890

vol I p 97

Three fatal cases of gangrenous ulceration of arm after vaccination

One of them in Dr H's own practice

A second published by W Clement Lucas

3-4 yrs ago

Had a subject of Coroners inquest

Lucas - ~~At the latter~~ The facts of the latter taken in part from the evidence given at the inquest and partly in detail in the local papers and in part from personal inquiry at the Leeds Infirmary - Dr Littlewood the coroner who gave every assistance & information in his power & procured for me an opportunity for inspection of the mother of the patient and her other children.

These 3 cases are alike in the following facts:

In each instance the area of skin involved in vaccination inflamed and became gangrenous, forming a large and deep ulcer. In each death followed abt 2 months after the vaccination. In each certain symptoms occurred which were suggestive of syphilis while in all

Infant Mortality G Newman

Syphilis 1271 – diag. 1903 Eng & Wales opp p 46

p 52 per 1000 births

Urban counties

males		females	
1873 –	1898	1873 –	1898 –
1877	1902	1877	1902
2.2	1.5		diminished

69 Syphilis appears & have been declining since 1876 as a cause of dth in infants & all ages

& more at all ages than in infants

Eng & Wales

1876 – 1880 1.77 of births

1896 – 1900 1.23

But all ages

8.5 & 5.8

Reg Gen Reports 1904 LVIII ~~LXXIX-LXXX~~

Idth in 33,511 vaccinated

in 1905 LXXIX - LXXX

1 in 27,486

1903 XLVII

1 in 39,080

1902 XXXIX

1 in 25,478

States case

Lee's Case

Archives of Surg 1889-90

vol,

pp 97-110

LEICESTER

Anti-Vaccination Defence Association

MANIFESTO OF THE CENTRAL COUNCIL.

The Local Government Board President has promised to enquire *re* the enforcement of the Vaccination Acts in the Borough of Leicester.

For many years past the town has been comparatively free from Small Pox. During that period Vaccination has been at a minimum, and practised to a very small extent.

The inhabitants have lost no opportunity of exposing the Jennerian theory which supposes a disease can be prevented by the insertion of matter falsely termed Lymph (glycerinated or otherwise) into the arms of children.

The inefficiency of Vaccination as a preventive of Small Pox has been demonstrated repeatedly. Instances, numerous and reliable, have been cited to prove the disastrous—in some cases fatal—effects of the operation.

We object to Small Pox as much as our opponents, but have more faith in its prevention by cleanliness and good sanitary methods, than by the insertion of Government lymph, however labelled.

Hitherto Constitutional efforts have failed to remove the Compulsory Clauses of the Acts, and there now remains but **one** of two courses open to us. Either we must submit to a repulsive, unnatural ordeal, thereby involving serious risks, freedom from which no medical man can guarantee, or, on the other hand, we must confront the decree of the Local Government Board with resolute resistance.

We choose the latter alternative, and our choice is prompted by no disrespect, but by a strong sense of parental duty in the protection of our offspring.

We enter the conflict with determination, and will use our utmost endeavour to uproot the tyranny that has so long oppressed us and our compatriots, especially those in country villages.

The forces against us are numerous, but the cause of liberty demands our allegiance in opposition to that power, and at the cost of personal inconvenience—and sacrifice if needs be—we shall remain loyal to the banner of Freedom.

As an Association we intend to provide suitable legal defence where necessary. In case conviction ensues we shall ignore all further procedure, retaining the whole of our resources for the purpose of reducing the amount of suffering and loss occasioned by prosecutions, or in replacing goods distrained in lieu of payment of Fines.

Members in receipt of Vaccination order and similar notices are requested to report same to Branch Secretary **without delay.**

"Play the man and win the fight."

On behalf of Council,

AMOS BOOTH, *President.*

WM. SAWBRIDGE, *Hon. Secretary,*
53, Stoughton Street.

March, 1901.

Thornley & Waddington, "Victoria Press," Bowling Green Street, Leicester.

The fatal case of Emily Ward child and the shameless and groundless attack upon the character of her parents by the Local Government Board's Inspector Dr Ballard was still engaging the sympathy of the advocates of truth when two more fatal cases of deaths from vaccination occurring at Hinckley near Leicester arrested their attention

On (Monday?) July 15th 1889 the child (a girl) of George and Emma Baily born the 31st March previous, was vaccinated with "pure calf lymph" by a physician at Hinckley employed by the parents.

On the Wednesday after the insertion of this "pure Calf lymph" the child's arm became much inflamed right down to the elbow, and blebs appeared thereunder. It got worse day by day and was taken to the doctor for inspection. The mother asked the cause of the inflamation. The operator was unable to say, but expressed himself as quite certain that the "lymph was pure". He prescribed treatment but the child got Constantly worse and after intense suffering died on the 29th July

The unhappy parents declared that until they permitted the vaccinator to place in the child's arm the "pure calf lymph" it was healthy happy and absolutely well.

The death certificate confessed the truth in this instance

The other case was that of the girl child of James and Eliza Collins of 20 Waterloo-Square, Hinckley The child was born May 10th 1889 and throve well until Saturday June 13 when the parents took it to be vaccinated and paid the doctor who was a paid blee a careful and skilful operator

The following Saturday - there seeming to be no result the child was taken to the doctors house a second time was vaccinated by his assistant. By the following Monday, inflammation set in all around the puncture which extended gradually all over the child's body and after much suffering the child died on Saturday August 10th 1889

The parents declare that neither they, nor to the best of their knowledge any member of either of the families ever had any "blood disease."

In this case the certificate of death did not mention vaccination as its cause, but erysipelatous inflammation, marasmus and exhaustion" are given.

(Leicester Daily Post Sept 13, 1889)

At the Market Bosworth Petty sessions during the present week a father was prosecuted for not having his child vaccinated. In defence the parent produced ~~in court~~ another child who had suffered and was still suffering severely from the operation.

In the signally erroneous ~~paper~~ report of the Public Health and Marine Hospital service of the 12th April 1907 some of which have been already noticed, it is alleged - on the authority of Voight's History of smallpox and vaccination that in 2,275 000 vaccinations there were but 35 deaths or one in 65,000

As has been shown, the English authorities confess to 1 in 14,000 and ~~the~~ the English vaccinators are not one ~~which~~ ~~is~~ ~~wit~~ jot less careful than the German - probably more so, and both are infinitely more careful than are those of the United States. It has also been shown that even this 1 in 14,000 is almost certainly many times too small as even Dr Cory confessed to 1 in 4000. But with the very inadequate resources at their command and with every possible obstacle thrown in their path ~~by the~~ of investigation by the English authorities, the self sacrificing opponents of vaccination in England have conclusively proved death to have been caused by vaccination in quite a large number of cases in which the death has been ascribed to other causes, and in this connection the now notorious statement

(over)

of Dr. Mag must not be forgotten.

It is precisely by similar tactics that the number of fatal vaccinal cases are reduced by Dr. Voight to 1 in 65,000 vaccinations and this will be evident from a consideration that according to the Communikations des K. G. there were 7 deaths from vaccination in Berlin alone in the year 1881 (see p. 13) and the Communikations K. G. a mention of no less than further

and according to the Sanitary and Medical bureau for Berlin and Charlottenburg for 1892–4 there were 2424 severe sicknesses while the official report of the Professor Dr. Albert Guttstadt (no year given but would state it nevertheless) states that there were every year several hundred severe cases of disease from Vaccination.

And then the question: How long will be the power of protection of the vaccination?

Herr Alog: Froelich says

the official report of the Medicinal & Sanitary affairs of the city of Berlin of 1881.

about 53 Deaths after Vaccination in 1886/7.

(see after, they do not direct acknowledge through vacc.

What is this

Thorpe. See first par, col 1, page 142 of Inquirer for Oct. 1902 – vol XXIV.

Further particulars. The child, with the lower part of its body covered with eczema, had been taken to a doctor on July 23/02 & again a day or two later. But the father – quite ignorant that vacn should not be performed when any such eruption was present – communicated with the public vacr who attended @ noon on August 30th & straightaway vacd. Till then – except for the eczema – the boy had been well enough but toward night he became ill & next morning the Dr who had previously attended was fetched. He found the baby in a state of coma, temperature 101·8. At 10 o'clock the same night death occurred, the Dr being present. No inquest was held.

Morley. See col 2, page 226, Inquirer for Feb. 1904, vol. XXV

Hulse. Particulars supplied @ their request to the West Bromwich Guardians by Dr H. L. Browne public vacr (Note. He was not the certifying Dr.)

Child vacd by his deputy – Dr Plummer – with L.C.V. lymph, August 15/02. Inspected on Aug. 22 & again – @ mother's request – on Aug. 29th when as there was inflammation he supplied necessary materials for treatment. Dr Browne saw mother on 30th. She stated child had been well up to time of vacn but @ end of 2nd week there were signs of inflammation. She applied the powder Dr Plummer gave her & as arm did not improve sent for her own Dr. The inflammation was from shoulder to elbow. Child was heavy, sleepy & weak & died on Sept. 4th.

Forsyth. See col 2, page 234, Inquirer for March 1904, vol. XXV

Rumble & Stubbs. Have no information of these cases.

If you have not got copies of Inquirers required to refer to please let me know & I will send what you need.

I also have an old certificate - year 1895, arm-to-arm case.

Turville. See col 2. page 180, Inquirer Decr 1905.

Bull. See col 2, page 6, Inquirer, April 1906.

Should you desire any or all of these additional three please let me know & I will be glad to procure.

Head Office will render a/c in due course.

With kind regards,

I remain &c

John H. Bonner,

Permanent Private address } "Deepdale" Duxbury Rd. Leicester, Eng.

b falsification of statistics

student should remember that all such vaccinations were passed upon at the time and reported and paid for as successful. It is not until the patient dies of small pox that it is discovered that the vaccination was unsuccessful. And then what of the one case ~~[illegible]~~ admitted to have been a successfully vaccinated "death"? As to the two "unvaccinated" deaths, they were found to have been cases certified as a unfit for vaccination!! Out of the 5 unvaccinated four had been certified as unfit. The only fair case of an unvaccinated patient out of the whole 74 was one man who recovered.

Of the 13 unvaccinated according to medical officers report, one was living in Leicester in April 1872 bearing "excellent" marks of vaccination upon her arm; several had been revaccinated and one had not only been vaccinated but had the small pox in 1863 and was much pitted thereby and being thus doubly "protected" she took the disease — and died.

Another return was given in the Leeds Mercury for March 22 of cases from March 9 to March 16 as follows:

Admitted 5; successfully vaccinated 1, imperfectly vaccinated 1, said to be vaccinated but no visible evidence 2, unvaccinated 1. On investigation Mr Pickering found that these were 2 deaths; that of the 5 cases 4 had been vaccinated and one only unvaccinated, ~~because~~ and she was unvaccinated because the vaccinating officer Dr Teale of Leeds certified that she was unfit for vaccination on account of illness from birth. With regard to the other death regarding

a

Falsification of statistics

At the meeting of the guardians of the Leeds Union on March 13th 1872 a return of the cases admitted to the small pox hospital was made by the medical officers and was ordered to be published by the guardians: It stated, amongst other things, as follows: Return of Cases admitted to the small-pox Hospital from 1st February to 9th March 1872

Admitted 74. Cured 18, died 16 remaining in Hosp 49

Successfully vaccinated	35	of whom	1 died;
Imperfectly vaccinated	25	"	6 died;
Unknown as to vaccination	3	"	1 died
Not vaccinated	13	"	4 died

~~On the 20th March~~

At the meeting of the Board of guardians held 20th March Mr Kenworthy one of the guardians and Mr John Pickering F. S. S. stated that he had obtained from the hospital a complete list of all the patients included in the 74 and the names of the 13 said to be unvaccinated and visited the houses of them all and found that the return made by the medical officers was incorrect ~~and~~ that of the 74 Cases 67 were vaccinated 2 ~~were~~ not known as to vaccination and conditionally unvaccinated. Of the 16 deaths, 12 were vaccinated, 2 not known and 2 unvaccinated.

Mr Kenworthy endeavored to induce the board to order an investigation into the accuracy of the statements of their medical officer, but the board refused to do so.

Mr Libby, the medical officer ~~stated to~~ replied by letter to Mr Pickering that out of 58 vaccinations 23 were imperfectly done. As this statement is now constantly put forward, the

c Tab: of Stat

Whom the medical officer ~~said~~ reported "said to be unvaccinated but no visible evidence" the report, to speak mildly, was disingenuous. The patient was received in the height of the eruption ~~and~~ when the vaccinal scar cannot be seen. But besides this; the scar may wear out in the course of time. If ~~is not true to~~ is a valid and improvable assertion that this is because the protection has "worn out" and ~~of this~~ that the person should be revaccinated. At the time Mr Kenworthy and Mr Pickering were disputing ~~assailed~~ the statistics of the medical officer of Leicester ~~at~~ three of the Guardians submitted their arms to inspection - One had "good marks" the second "imperfect marks and the third none at all, yet they had all been successfully vaccinated

Mr Haigh the (then) late manager at the Hospital was vaccinated in infancy and "successfully revaccinated" several times yet he bears no "mark" and if he were to die of small pox would be put down by such officials as Dr Welch of Phil Dr J. A. Egan ~~Eaton~~ of the State of Illinois, Dr Evans ~~Eaton~~ of Chicago and pretty nearly all other medical officials in the U.S. as "unvaccinated"

J Emery Codevre compare what I have extracted with "The Anti-Vac Tel 1873 p 305-7 & Mch 73 p 337-340

His largest objection was to the number of 18,000 which formed part of the 38000 (about) found by Mr Wheeler

It has never been proven that even with the use of animal lymph

1. That the ~~injury~~ traumation resulting from the inoculation is ~~never~~ not injurious and susceptible of causing grave accidents

2 That the virus ~~itself~~ ~~is not~~ inoculated is ~~not~~ not [of itself by the terrain humoral which it creates] incapable of injuring the organism of the subject vaccinated.

By Dr Perron in the Gazette Hebdomadaire des sciences medical

vol XII p 294

The Gazette has for the editor in chief Dr E Masse Prof of the faculty of Medicine & ~~all~~ a committee consist of the most eminent MDs of South of Fr

On the contrary diseased Londons simulating syph & death have resulting numerous cases from the use of "pure calf lymph" so designated the vaccinators

Keen

Reprint Med News July 6 - 1901

Bailey

Reprint J^l Exp^t Med V. V. 1901 -

P. 5 - X - 615 - 5 Col Fol 8°

Gaz: méd. chir de Toulouse

1889 - XXI 68 73 81

Bull acad de Méd Par 1889 2. S.

~~8~~ (8)

Continuation of Table 7.

26	Table XLI. Page 86	~~John H.~~ Chas. D. (1 month) ~~Ernest B. and Martha E. S.~~	John W. Ernest B. and Martha E. S.	vaccination attempted.
27	Table L. Page 100	~~Victor F. and~~ John E. F.	Victor F. and Eliza P.	were vaccinated during the incubation of small-pox.
28	Table LIX page 115	~~Eliza P.~~ Florence Clark J.		
29	Table LXXI Page 136	George H. J.	John Henry F.	was vaccinated ~~during the incubation of small-pox.~~ twice unsuccessfully.
30	" "	George T. (Taylor) (1 month)	Baby P.	were unvaccinated
31	" "	William K. (1 month)	Ezekiel A.	
32	" Page 187	William H. (1 month)	Walter D.	
33	" "	Fred. Wm. B. (Ball) (1 month)	Ada B.	
34	" "	Elizabeth C.	John J.	
35	" "	Martha E. S.	James B. G. Chas D.	
36	Table LXXX Page 155	George T.	John E. F. George H. J.	
37	" "	Ada P.		
38	" "	John Henry F. (1 month)	William K.	
39	" "	Beatrice W.	Fred. Wm Ball and	
40	" "	Annie E. L. (1 month)	Annie E. L.	

Summary	under 1 month	26
	1 month	14
Total		40

* Case of fatal small-pox classed as "vaccinated" by Dr. Barry, but omitted from his calculations! — Jane C., 7 month old, three vaccination scars. See Table LVIII. Page 113, of Dr. Barry's report. — A. W.

Dear Miss Haynes
I am exceedingly sorry to hear of your illhealth. I hesitated whether to send this or not. If you do not feel able to attend to it please return it at once. Make only one copy.

Letter paper size – to go abroad
Wishing you a speedy recovery
Yours very truly

M. K. Leverson

Table G.

Sheffield: Fatal Small-pox in Persons, classed as "Unvaccinated" in the lists in Dr. Barry's Report, who had been Vaccinated.

District and Number.	Name.	Age.	Reason given for Non-vaccination	character of Small-pox	previous Health	Remarks.
attercliffe ---- 1	Victor F.	13 days	-------	Coherent	------	Vaccinated two days before small-pox ~~etty~~ eruption.
" 2	Ernest G.	10 years	--Neglect---	Confluent	Good	Vaccinated after development of small-pox eruption.
" 3	Eliza P.	1 months	-------	Severe ...	----	Vaccinated during incubation of small-pox.
" 4	Alice T.	2 months	-------	" ...	Good	Vaccinated during incubation of small pox when seven weeks old.
" 5	John W. N.	3 years	Tried once not again	Discrete --	" --	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 6	Robert C.	14 days	-------	(?) ---	" --	Vaccinated day before small-pox eruption.
Brightside ---- 6	Frederick M.	4 years.	Father opposed	Confluent and haemorrhagic	" --	Vaccinated in Workhouse day befor small-pox eruption.
" 7	John William G.	12 "	Insusceptible	Confluent --	" --	Vaccinated ~~three~~ times unsuccessfully by private practitioner.
" 8	Robert B.	47 "	-------	Coherent --	" --	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 9	James B.	46 "	insusceptible	Haemorrhagic + Bronchits.	Delicate	Vaccinated four times at Holbeach in Lincolnshire.
" 10	Beatrice N.	5 months	Neglect.	Discrete + pneumonia.	Good	Vaccinated seven days before small-pox eruption.
" 11	John W.	25 days	-------	No rash ---	"	Vaccinated seven days before death.
" 12	Rose W.	3 years	-------	Coherent	"	" in infancy unsuccessfully and ~~twice~~ six days before eruption.
" 13	Elnest B. (mother had small-pox)	9 days.	-------	Confluent.	------	Vaccinated three days after birth: small-pox eruption three days later.
north sheffield 14	~~Joh~~ John O'd.	35 years	None ---	"	Good ---	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 15	Charlotte A. M.	32 years	-------	"	Delicate	Vaccinated three days before small-pox eruption
" 16	William B.	05 " ---	Neglect	"	" ---	Vaccinated unsuccessfully by private practitioner before small-pox eruption.
" 17	John A.	2 months	-------	"	" ----	Vaccinated twice unsuccessfully by private practitioner.
" 18	Mary B.	5 years.	Delicate as infant, afterwards neglect.	Coherent	Good ---	Vaccinated three days before small-pox eruption.
" 19	Albert S.	14 "	Neglect.	"	" ---	Vaccinated by private practitioner four days before small-pox eruption.
" 20						

Table G. (Continued.)

Sheffield park 21	Helen H.	19 months	"	Confluent	"	Vaccinated five days before small-pox eruption.
South Sheffield 22	John T.	10 weeks		Discrete	"	Vaccinated by public Vaccinator seven days before small pox eruption.
West Sheffield 23	John H. T.	7 years		Confluent	"	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 24	Albert H.	11 "	parents opposed	"	"	Vaccinated two days before small-pox eruption.
" 25	Walter C.	2 "		"	"	Vaccinated seven days before small-pox eruption.
" 26	Herbert R.	6 "		"	"	Vaccinated unsuccessfully two years ago.
" 27	Harry A.	2 "	parents opposed	"	Delicate	Vaccinated six days before small-pox eruption
" 28	William B.	15 "		"	good	Vaccinated twice unsuccessfully.
Ecclesall 29	George T. (mother had small pox at Birth)	1 months		"		" at three days old; small-pox eruption within ten days.
" 30	William Henry T.	24 years	Neglect	"	good.	Vaccinated day before small-pox eruption.
" 31	Lavinia B.	14 "		"	Delicate.	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 32	Frederick Walter M	26 "	Neglect	"	good	Vaccinated on sunday; small-pox eruption on Tuesday.
" 33	Samuel K.	16 "		Descrete	"	Vaccinated unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 34	Edgar C.	9 "		Confluent + pneumonia	Delicate	Vaccinated twice unsuccessfully.
" 35	Martha E. S.	13 days		?		" five days bifore small-pox eruption.
" 36	Chales A. H.	19 years		Confluent	good.	Vaccinated twice unsuccessfully.
" 37	John Wm. B.	2 months		"	Delicate	" unsuccessfully.
Nether Wallam 38	Thos. William J.	21 years	parents opposed	Coherent	Good	" six days before small-pox eruption.
" 39	Joseph M. J.	1 years		Confluent	"	Vaccinated unsuccessfully.
" 40	George T.	2 weeks				" "
" 41	~~John Henry F.~~ George T. D.	33 years		Coherent	Delicate	" unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 42	~~John Henry T.~~	1 month		Confluent		" twice unsuccessfully.
" 43	Alice C.	20 years		"	good	" unsuccessfully in infancy.
" 44	Frank S.	3 months		"	"	Vaccinated during incubation of small-pox.
44	Vaccinated persons classed "unvaccinated"					
As well as Nether 45	Eliza S.	63 years, had small-pox when a child. ~~Discrete + apoplexy.~~		~~Discrete~~ apoplexy	Good	This accounted according to all authorities better protection than Vaccination.
" 46	Rose Z.	20 " ~~Confluent.~~		Confluent.	"	
West Sheffield 47	~~Cath~~ Caroline B.	41 ~~Apoplexy~~		apoplexy	"	Deeply marked from prior attack of small-pox.

Table 14.

Sheffield: The 591 Small-pox Deaths Re-classified.

Vaccinated:		
Jane C. (See Table LVIII., page 113 of Dr Barry's report)	1	
Vaccinated	226	288
Vaccinated unsuccesfully, vaccination attempted	44	
Vaccinated, and re-vaccinated attempted	17	
Persons who were protected by previous small-pox	3	
Children who were under the age of three months	37	91
Children whose vaccination was put off for delicacy	51	
Unvaccinated:		
Cases where vaccination had been avoided by removals	10	
Cases where there had been opposition to Vaccination	58	
Cases where vaccination had been nglected	53	
Cases where there had been an affection to vaccination	41	212
Cases poor health prior to the attack of small-pox	22	
Cases that where Complicated with Child-birth, etc,	22	
Cases that where starred out of Dr Barry's tables	6	
~~Total~~ Total		591

B

He had been treated by a celebrated physician of Philadelphia and was reported cured; nor can there be a question but that the man and his physician both believed that he was cured. He was treated with massive doses of Hg and I.K. ~~This is the orthodox~~ ~~Many physicians of the school to which the physician belonged~~ in the ~~most~~ approved manner. ~~In fact he was treated~~ in the regular way prescribed by the ablest physicians of the school of medicine ~~to~~ which this physician belonged.

It is however a fact, that today ~~very~~ many of the ablest physicians of that school, and ~~found~~ the whole of the homoeopathic school, believe that such treatment only suppresses the disease and does not cure it, leaving the field open for what are called tertiary symptoms; but which the ~~[illegible]~~ above mentioned critics of the practice regard as a complication of the syphilis induced by the drugs.

During the period of quiescence following the above dosing, he ~~marrie~~ cohabited with his newly married wife.

She was discharged from the Erie hospital ~~said~~ as ~~to be~~ cured. The books of that hospital I regretted to learn have been consumed in the fire which took place there ~~in~~ shortly after so that details of her ~~symptoms and~~ treatment could not be procured when at my request ~~she~~ she applied for them in May 1907, ~~at my request.~~ but she ~~believes~~ knows she received large doses of Hg. Her gums became blue in ~~[illegible]~~. She was discharged after 4 weeks and told to be cured. Six or 8 years ago her troubles recommenced. She had cold sweats at night and frequent [illegible] ~~in January 1907 had an attack of pleurisy~~

~~With regard to the 2 deaths other deaths~~

In March 1903 she had leucorrhoea and a painful lump on left knee. Her womb was scraped; the doctor removed "sacs" which were said to be growing to the womb.

In January 1907 she ~~had~~ had pleurisy and deep breathing still causes great pain and cough

Ampl 107

Plate fig shows the present condition of the ~~le~~ left knee which had started in the same way as the sores on the fore arm. They all at times break & ~~bleed~~ and then become dry and scurfy; as ~~She is very weak~~ much as a pint of scurf falls from her elbow at times in 24 hours and about as much from her knee. She is very weak – her limbs "go right from under her at the knees" She becomes dizzy and things turn black. She has pains ~~across~~ through the left fore arm and across the chest to the sternum; they seem to travel slowly across. They resemble neuralgic pains and affect also ~~be~~ the left side of forehead & temple. The left eye gets sharkles and flashes and she suffers greatly from nausea. The tongue is coated, throat sore, tonsils swollen ~~and typical~~

~~Plate fig presents a view thereof~~

~~The above is a typical picture of a complication of vas but~~

~~Every ex physician of experience must~~

~~I think this case should be recognized this case as a combination of cowpox inoculation and syphilis, and the~~

~~It is probable that Cul for the~~

It is probable that but for the degradation of the poor womans constitution by ~~the~~ inoculation ~~of~~ with the virus (i.e. poison) of cowpox, - a product of disease of high septic character, - the copulation with a man in whom syphilis had been suppressed but was ~~merely~~ latent, would not have produced the dreadful effects above described; and that even if syphilis had been communicated to her it would have been readily curable under proper treatment. Her present condition, ~~is~~ though not hopeless under proper treatment, is not hopeful; especially ~~that her husband she being~~ as she is destitute ~~and unable to obtain~~ ~~such~~ proper nourishment ~~as~~ her husband having deserted her; refusing to ~~not~~ believe that he communicated the disease to his wife, because the physician who treated him ~~her husband has deserted her~~ told him that he was cured.

Invaccinated Small pox
in the 17th Nov. 1875

John Brown of Port Richmond Staten I. N. Y. born 11 Feby 1871 Healthy till vaccinated ~~at~~ when 11 months old. In a month Eczema broke out - within three months it was all over face and head; - he began to fail when a year old (1 month after vaccination) and continued failing till he died at the age of one year and Eight months after constant and great suffering. His parents were well known residents of ~~the~~ Port Richmond. His oldest brother became a village ~~trustee~~ before the consolidation and Thomas his youngest brother (born after his death) was elected judge of the municipal court in 1905 The mother says she "cannot understand how ~~the~~ taking the vile matter from a sore, be it of man or

"death, to put into the pure body of a healthy child can be either a scientific or a sensible proceeding — it is against common sense" (May 21 — 1906)

Who will dare say that this mother is not wiser than all the vaccinating doctors?

NEW YORK, ... 190

ELECTRIC LIGHT AND TURKISH BATHS.
ROMAN AND ELECTRIC BATHS.

MILLER'S HOTEL,
39 AND 41 WEST 26TH STREET.

TELEPHONE, 1495 MAD. SQ.
E. P. MILLER, PROPRIETOR.
F. E. DAVIS, MANAGER.

England & Wales – Annual deaths from various causes to 1 million living

	1881	2	3	4	5	1886	7	8	9	1890	1891	1892	3	4	5	1896	7	8	9	1900
Males																				
Syphilis	87	90	91	89	88	87	81	72	77	75	74	78	83	73	76	65	69	66	63	63
Erysipelas	98	100	90	87	80	60	68	63	43	51	46	54	51	48	38	38	33	34	40	41
Tabes mesenterica	313	348	316	339	278	330	279	269	192	295	277	262	285	206	265	214	222	219	216	203
Other forms of Tuberculosis Scrofula	156	166	169	180	171	195	198	185	198	206	212	212	203	205	223	199	195	204	192	208
Eczema	~~183~~	~~221~~	~~215~~	~~242~~	~~243~~	~~227~~	~~192~~	~~216~~	~~216~~	~~240~~	~~249~~	~~230~~	~~255~~	~~238~~	~~247~~	~~247~~	~~226~~	~~220~~	~~201~~	~~221~~
to 100,000	14	17	17	18	18	17	14	17	16	17	18	16	18	16	15	14	15	14	13	14
Females																				
Syphilis	75	79	83	80	74	75	68	65	67	68	61	61	63	61	62	53	52	49	50	57
Erysipelas	80	85	73	72	67	51	65	53	42	45	41	46	58	42	34	33	32	28	37	35
Tabes mesenterica	257	286	262	282	225	272	228	214	147	237	227	222	248	177	221	178	180	185	180	169
Other f. of Tuberculosis Scrofula	135	142	152	161	144	160	160	164	169	174	186	187	165	165	177	159	155	163	155	162
Eczema	10	11	11	12	11	13	11	10	11	10	12	12	13	12	12	10	09	11	9	9
Persons																				
Syp	81	85	87	84	81	81	74	68	72	71	68	69	72	67	69	59	60	57	56	57
Erysip	89	92	81	79	73	55	67	58	43	48	43	50	65	45	36	36	33	31	38	38
T. m.	284	313	289	310	251	300	253	240	169	265	251	242	264	191	242	195	201	202	197	185
O. f. T. d S	145	153	160	170	157	177	179	174	183	189	203	195	185	184	199	178	174	183	173	184
Eczema	12	14	13	15	15	15	13	13	14	14	15	14	15	13	13	12	12	13	11	11
Bronchitis	2145	2197	2208	1978	2240	2232	2457	2041	0957	2333	2593	2265	1903	1637	1964	1533	1501	1477	1605	1692
Diarrhoea	588	653	598	678	492	899	727	455	648	606	465	505	942	349	871	544	876	919	933	710

I give you on the other side the figures for the years of the new classification of disease. But I cannot be sure it will fit with your previous years. So many things have been altered – and so many other diseases added to the list which may subtract from lines of the old classification. "Cutaneous" does not appear now & one would have I imagine to glean them from various lines of the new classes. Statistics like other things in modern life are becoming much more complicated & complex.

consider the work more worthy of the holy cause in which we are engaged.

Hoping you are well
I am dear Mr Wheeler
[illegible]

Plate

Diagram N.

Deaths from all causes of Children under five Years of Age, in London from 1730 to 1829 and in England and Wales from 1841 to 1880.

London: 1730 to 1829.

[For London, from Dr. Hall's tables in Mac Culloch's Statistical Account of the British Empire, Vol. II., page 543: 3rd. Edition, 1847.

Deaths under 5 to each 100 born.



England and Wales: 1841 to 1880.

[For England and Wales calculated from the Report of the Registrar-General for 1888 and from the Census Report, 1881.— See Table O.]



Diagram N
following p 71

IN MEMORIAM

Dolphine Davis,
Died February 10th, 1903, of Tetanus following Vaccination.
Aged 10 Years.

IN MEMORIAM

Harleigh Reinhard,
Re-Vaccinated 5th December, 1905, Died in January, 1906.
Aged 13 Years.

DR. G. BARNEY—"I declare now that 50 per cent. of the School Children of Brooklyn who are Vaccinated in the Public Schools come near to death."—*v. i. Feb. 08, p. 195.*

Who is responsible?

Statesman, Physician, Surgeon, Priest, Pastor, Magistrate, Barrister, Layman, Citizen, Artizan, Parent, Voter.

"Thou Art the Man"

The responsibility and crime are your own so long as you forbear to help to rid the Nation's Statute Book of the laws by which a hybrid morbid virus is prepared and used for the infamous assault on little children, known as Vaccination.

PUBLISHED BY WILLIAM J. FURNIVAL, 16, GRANVILLE TERRACE, STONE, STAFFS.

"CUT FLOWERS"
OR SCHOOL-AGE VACCINATION ILLUSTRATED.

May O'Neil,
Died from effects of Vaccination, January 5th, 1905.
Aged 13 Years.

IN MEMORIAM



Edith Hempe,

Vaccinated Jan. 18th, 1906, Died from the effects, Feb. 4th, 1906.
Aged 7 Years.

IN MEMORIAM

Lucille Sturdevant,

Vaccinated May 15th, 1902,
Died from the effects, May 28th, 1902.
Aged 6 Years.

IN MEMORIAM

Isabella Morgan,

Vaccinated May 9th, 1906, Died from the effects May 30th, 1906.
Aged 6 Years.

IN MEMORIAM

Alma Olivia Piehn,

Vaccinated about 15th March, 1894,
Died from the effects, 13th May, 1894.
Aged 6 Years.

No. 946

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO.

DOMINION OF CANADA.

This is to Certify that the following Return of Death was made to

THE REGISTRAR GENERAL OF THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO,

by the Registrar of the Division of Toronto in the County of York for the year A.D. 1904 and is now duly on record on the books of this Department.

Name of Deceased	1	George Harold Meads
Sex	2	Male
Date of Death	3	9th June 1904
Age	4	4 years 5 months
Residence, Street No. or Concession and Lot	5	219 Lisgar St Toronto
Occupation	6	—
Single or Married	7	Single
If Single give Name of Father If Married give Name of Husband	8	George Meads
Where Born	9	England
Cause of Death	10	Tetanus, Vaccination on
Length of Illness	11	36 Hours — Ships Board
Name of Physician in Attendance	12	Dr Smuck
Religious Denomination	13	Methodist
Name of Person making Return	14	J.W. Smuck M.D.
Date of Registration.	15	11th June 1904
Name of Division Registrar Signing Return	16	W.A. D'Meyohn
Remarks		

And this Certificate is granted under Section 7, Chapter 44, of the Revised Statutes of Ontario, 1897, entitled "An Act respecting the registration of Births, Marriages and Deaths.

REGISTRAR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA November 26 1904

Chas Hodgetts
Deputy Registrar General.

REGISTRAR GENERAL ONTARIO

This bill provides for compulsory vaccination. It prescribes that when in the judgment of the State Board of Health smallpox is liable to spread in a community, such board shall issue an order to the local board of health requiring "general vaccination of the inhabitants of said locality." It makes it the duty of such local board to require all persons within its jurisdiction to be vaccinated who have not been successfully vaccinated within five years prior to the time of issuing said order.

The bill affords exemption to only two classes of persons: one who may furnish certificates from a licensed physician to the effect that in the judgment of such physician it will be dangerous to the health of such persons to be vaccinated shall be excused from the force of the law; or one who shall present a declaration verified by a judge of a court of record in effect that to be vaccinated or to permit his minor children to be vaccinated, is contrary to his religious belief, is exempt from its provisions.

The preponderance of legal authority favors the theory that it is competent for the legislature to exercise the police power of the state to the fullest extent for the preservation of the public health; but the most distinguished law authors within and without the state are agreed that the necessity must be plainly evident to justify such exercise of extraordinary power. In view of the decisions it may be well questioned whether the opinions or judgment of health officers can be accepted in lieu of facts as the determinate evidence of necessity for such exercise of legislative authority and police power.

The exempting provisions of this bill afford legislative recognition of the existence of more grave dangers to the citizen than the liability to contagion from the loathsome disease which is sought to be avoided.

If existing laws did not vest health officers with extraordinary powers to prevent the spread of contagious diseases, if the practice of medicine was founded solely on established scientific facts instead of in various schools of theory, or even if there were conditions of emergencies within the state demanding new official power for health officers at some sacrifice of individual rights of citizens, this bill, in my judgment, might be better justified. But I cannot ignore the fact that laws of this character are repugnant to very many good citizens, even among those who are not opposed to vaccination, from religious beliefs and prejudices. In some states they have resulted in riots and in strife which has outlived the epidemic. Within very recent years laws providing for compulsory vaccination have been repealed in respect to experience.

I am unwilling to approve legislation to abridge the security of the person or the home without the presence of some greater emergency or cause than appears to exist in Wisconsin at the present time.

Respectfully submitted,
ROEBRT M. LaFOLLETTE,
Governor.

(NOTE. Compulsory vaccination is being rapidly abolished in all states where the people have been aroused to the consideration of the evil effects of vaccination. In Indiana the people first understood that vaccination could be made a condition precedent to school attendance when the supreme court of that state rendered its decision in the case of Blue v. Beach, reported in 155 Indiana State Reports at page 121. By an act of the legislature approved March 11, 1901, the effect of this decision was done away with by a change in the law. In Utah an act became effective March 2, 1901, abolishing compulsory vaccination. The bill was vetoed by the Governor and was then passed over his veto by a two-thirds vote of both houses of the legislature. In Switzerland the question was submitted to a vote of the people and compulsory vaccination was abolished. Minnesota should not lag behind other communities in abolishing laws restricting the personal rights of the individual. So it is sought to have a bill passed by the legislature preventing compulsory vaccination in Minnesota. This should be done for as Wm. Cobbett has well said: "Compulsory Vaccination can be adopted in no country where the people are not vassels or slaves." It is worthy of note that the Queen of Holland has recently recommended the repeal of the compulsory vaccination laws of that country).